

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Oviposition behavior of the mirid *Macrolophus pygmaeus* under risk of intraguild predation and cannibalismFrançois Dumont^{1,2} , Éric Lucas^{1,2} and Oscar Alomar²¹Département des Sciences Biologiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, Centre Ville, Montréal, QC, Canada and ²Sustainable Plant Protection Programme, Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology (IRTA), Cabriels, Catalonia, Spain

Abstract Zoophytophagous mirid species, that feed and develop either on prey or plant resources, are often found simultaneously on the same host. Hence, these species can engage in both intraguild predation and cannibalism, which can pose a threat to mirid eggs. Ovipositing females may respond to such risks of predation on their eggs by reducing the number of eggs laid or selecting safer oviposition sites. We tested the oviposition behavior of *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Rambur) (Hemiptera: Miridae) females under the risk of cannibalism by *M. pygmaeus* males and intraguild predation by *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Reuter) males (Hemiptera: Miridae) under laboratory conditions. Intraguild predators and cannibals were introduced during or after the oviposition period. The number of eggs laid (using counts of newly hatched nymphs) and their proportion on each part of a tomato plant were both measured. The results reveal that only cannibalism by *M. pygmaeus* males after the period of oviposition significantly decreased the number of hatched eggs. Cannibalism thus represents a greater risk to mirid eggs than intraguild predation. The *M. pygmaeus* female responded to the presence of potential intraguild predators (or competitors) by decreasing the number of eggs laid in the upper leaves. The results suggest that *M. pygmaeus* females avoid competition by *N. tenuis*, by laying fewer eggs on upper leaves. Cannibalism could regulate zoophytophagous predator populations under prey scarcity conditions and minimize the risk of crop damage associated with those biological control agents.

Key words antipredator behavior; cannibalism; intraguild predation; *Macrolophus pygmaeus*; *Nesidiocoris tenuis*; zoophytophagy

Introduction

Zoophytophagous mirids have the ability to substitute zoophagy for phytophagy to complete their development and reproduction (Wiedenmann *et al.*, 1996; Lucas & Rosenheim, 2011). These omnivorous predators can survive in agricultural system during prey shortage and overcome rapid spatial and temporal changes in prey

availability (Coll & Guershon, 2002). Several mirid species exploit a common prey and host plant simultaneously (Sanford, 1964; Castañé *et al.*, 2004; Naselli *et al.*, 2017). Hence intraguild predation (IGP: predation on an interspecific competitor) and cannibalism are observed among those predators (Lucas & Alomar, 2001, Moreno-Ripoll *et al.*, 2012; Hamdi *et al.*, 2013; Perdikis *et al.*, 2014), and can induce antipredator behavior as a response to the potential risk. The mirids lay eggs in their host plant tissue, expectedly giving them protection against predators (Sanford, 1964; Wheeler, 2001). However, such a strategy might be less effective against mirid predators (both intraguild predators and cannibals) as these have piercing-suckling mouthparts that allow them to exploit eggs embedded in the tissue. Thus the perceived risk of

Correspondence: François Dumont, Département des Sciences Biologiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, CP 8888, Succ. Centre Ville, Montréal, QC, H3C 3P8, Canada. Tel: +1 514 987 3000 (3367); fax: +1-514-987-4647; email: dumont.francois.3@courrier.uqam.ca

intraguild predation and cannibalism on mirid eggs could influence the oviposition behavior of females, and therefore the effectiveness of zoophytophagous mirids as biological control agents against other mirids (Blaustein, 1999).

Several organisms alter their oviposition behavior in response to the perceived risk of predation (Blaustein, 1999; Rieger *et al.*, 2004; Fontaine & Martin, 2006). On a short temporal scale, risk-sensitive oviposition would lead to quantitative changes (e.g., delaying oviposition in presence of risk of predation) (Montserrat *et al.*, 2007; Carrasco & Kaitala, 2009; Walzer & Schausberger, 2011; Velasco-Hernández *et al.*, 2013). For instance, Montserrat *et al.* (2007) observed that females of the phytoseiid mite *Neoseiulus cucumeris* (Oudemans) (Acari: Phytoseiidae) are able to retain their eggs when exposed to egg predation risk, which allows females to reach safer sites to oviposit. On longer term, the female's response to the risk of predation would generate a qualitative change in the oviposition behavior in such a way that eggs should be laid in safer sites (Stav *et al.*, 1999; Angelon & Petranka, 2002; Blaustein *et al.*, 2004; Choh *et al.*, 2010). Hence, the distribution of eggs should vary depending on the risk of predation. Mirid females could prefer alternative hosts or use different parts of their usual host.

Intraguild predation and cannibalism are different threats and, hence, may generate varying behavioral responses by ovipositing females. Schellhorn and Andow (1999) found that both *Adalia bipunctata* (Linnaeus) and *Coleomegilla maculata* (De Geer) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) suffered more from cannibalism on eggs than from intraguild predation. Authors suggested that cannibalism is more frequent than intraguild predation because *A. bipunctata* and *C. maculata* use different part of the plant for oviposition (top and bottom of the plant respectively). Therefore, the encounter rate with their conspecific eggs is higher than with their competitor eggs. The effect of the risk of intraguild predation and cannibalism on oviposition site selection by mirid females could be rather different. Females may gather their eggs in a limited space in response to the presence of intraguild predators (assuming both species do not use space identically), which would lead to egg aggregation. In contrast, females could use various and unusual oviposition sites under risk of cannibalism. Thus, eggs would be more scattered when cannibals are a potential threat (e.g., when alternative food resources are scarce) (Cottrell & Yeargan, 1998; Laycock *et al.*, 2006; Hamdi *et al.*, 2013).

In this study, we test the hypothesis that *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Rambur) (Hemiptera: Miridae) (formerly sold as *M. caliginosus*) (hereafter referred to MP) females are

sensitive to both intraguild predation risk by *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Reuter) (Hemiptera: Miridae) (hereafter NT) and cannibalism risk on their eggs. Both zoophytophagous mirids spontaneously colonize tomato plants or are released as biological control agents, and engage in intraguild interactions (Lucas & Alomar, 2001; Lucas & Rosenheim, 2011; Perdikis *et al.*, 2014), including cannibalism (Moreno-Ripoll *et al.*, 2012; Hamdi *et al.*, 2013). The simultaneous presence of these predators can enhance biological control of whiteflies *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and tomato borer *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) (Urbaneja *et al.*, 2009; Urbaneja *et al.*, 2012; Biondi *et al.*, 2018). *Macrolophus pygmaeus* contrasts with NT by using the middle and lower leaves of the tomato plants to feed and lay eggs, but not the upper stratum of the plants as done by NT (Castañe *et al.*, 2011; Perdikis *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, on this part of the plant, MP would have higher encounter rate with their conspecific eggs than the intraguild predator NT. We predict that: (i) risk of cannibalism will have a greater impact on the quantity of eggs laid by MP females than the risk of intraguild predation; (ii) risk of cannibalism will force MP females to oviposit eggs on the upper part of their host plant, whereas under the risk of intraguild predation eggs would aggregate in the lower part of the plant.

Materials and methods

Mirid populations and rearing conditions

Macrolophus pygmaeus and NT individuals were both reared at IRTA facilities (Cabrils, Catalunya, Spain) (Agustí & Gabarra, 2009). Both mirids population are reared on tobacco plants (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) and fed on a diet of *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) eggs. Both colonies originated from local tomato fields and are refreshed annually.

Population were kept in acrylic cages in climatic chambers at 25 °C, 70% RH, 16 : 8 L : D regime. *Macrolophus pygmaeus* females between one and two weeks of age were randomly assigned to each treatment.

Laboratory experiments

The oviposition behavior of MP females under risk of intraguild predation on eggs by NT males and of cannibalism by MP males was studied on ~160 mm tomato plants, *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Miller) (Solanaceae), placed in cages made from microperforated plastic bags (100 mm diameter by 200 mm height). The host plants

used for tests were prepared to leave only five leaves on the plant. All exceeding leaflets (upper leaves) were removed. No additional food was provided as the availability of quality food resources can limit both intraguild predation (Perdikis *et al.*, 2014) and cannibalism (Hamdi *et al.*, 2013).

In all trials, four fertilized MP females were released in each cage and allowed to lay eggs for 4 d. These females were then removed. The use of four MP females aimed to avoid great variability inherent to only use one female per cage. The potential effect of competition among females or cannibalism was the same for all treatments. Additionally, MP or NT males were also added into the cages, at the same times as females or afterward to (a) determine the IGP or cannibalism by males, and (b) evaluate the effect of cannibalism or IGP and changes in oviposition behavior in response to predation risks. Hence, MP females were exposed to the following treatments: (i) cannibalism during oviposition (DO CNB): four MP males introduced together with the females; (ii) intraguild predation during oviposition (DO IGP): four NT males introduced together with the females; (iii) cannibalism after oviposition (postoviposition CNB; PO CNB): four MP males introduced after females were removed; (iv) intraguild predation after oviposition (postoviposition IGP; PO IGP): four NT males introduced after females were removed; and (v) control cages were also set up with only 4 MP females and without adding NT or MP males; All NT and MP males were allowed to prey on MP eggs for 4 d. After the allocated time, all mirids were removed, and the plant was inspected thoroughly. No nymph was observed, which confirms that males only had access to eggs during the experiment. Each treatment was repeated 16 times.

After 10 d from the beginning of the experiment, tomato plants were cut in five sections; each with one leaf and each of them was kept in 100 mm plastic glasses for five more days to allow larvae to emerge from eggs. Each cutting was provided with water in order to remain moistened, and *E. kuehniella* eggs were provided *ad libitum* in the plastic glasses to feed hatching larvae and prevent cannibalism among them. The whole experiment was done in climatic chambers (25 °C, 70% RH, 16 : 8 h L : D). Under these conditions, the incubation of MP eggs lasts about 11 d (Perdikis & Lykouressis, 2004; Castañé & Zapata, 2005). Consequently, no eggs had hatched before the plant was cut, and nymphs found on each part came from eggs laid on these parts.

The number of nymphs hatched was counted 5 d after cutting the tomato plants and used as a proxy for the number of eggs laid by females minus those consumed by potential predators (or cannibals). Directly counting

the MP eggs can lead to error because they are laid in the plant tissue and are difficult to see. We tried to stain eggs to count them in the plant rather than to infer oviposition from recording the number of nymphs (see Vanderkerkhove *et al.*, 2006 for the method), but that method was hardly reliable on large scale (i.e., the whole plant in our case). We thus inferred the oviposition behavior of MP females and both intraguild predation and cannibalism based on our counts of nymphs.

Statistical analysis

The number of nymphs recorded in our control treatment (four females during 4 d) resulted from the fertilized eggs laid in the plant that successfully hatched. The level of intraguild predation or cannibalism was inferred by comparing “postoviposition IGP” and “postoviposition CNB” treatments with the control treatments. Intraguild predation and cannibalism by males was inferred by comparing the control treatment with the “postoviposition CNB” and “postoviposition IGP” treatments (which allowed MP females to oviposit just as in the control treatment, but then allowed males to feed on eggs for 4 d). The difference in counts of nymphs accounted for the predation on eggs by NT or MP males. The effect of intraguild predation and cannibalism on the recorded number of MP nymphs was analyzed with a one-way ANOVA.

Our experimental design allowed us to infer the oviposition behavior of MP females. In “during-oviposition IGP” and “during-oviposition CNB” treatments, MP females directly interact with NT or MP males, but not in the other treatments. Thus, any differences in the distribution of nymphs between “during-oviposition” and control treatments could be explained by the interaction between MP females and males of either species. A difference in the distribution of nymphs between the “postoviposition” and the control treatments indicated that intraguild predation or cannibalism modulate this distribution.

The female preferentially laid their eggs in the bottom leaves. Hence, if the female responded to the presence of MP or NT males, they could adjust the number of eggs laid in the upper part of the plant. Generalized linear models (GLM) for Poisson distributed data were used to test the number of nymphs emerging on the upper three tomato plant leaves (third leaf and the two apical leaves) in function of the treatments. The *P*-value of the variable was obtained using *drop1* function, which runs a likelihood ratio test (LRT). Tukey’s all-pairwise comparisons of means was implemented using *glht* function (package *multcomp*; Hothorn *et al.*, 2016) to detect differences among treatments (Herberich *et al.*, 2010; Crawley, 2013). All analysis were run in R (R Core Team, 2017).




Fig. 1 Effects of intraguild predation and cannibalism risk on the mean number of MP nymphs emerged from eggs laid by four females during 4 d on a 16 cm tomato plant. The treatments consisted of four MP females: (1) control: without NT or MP males; (2) cannibalism during oviposition (DO CNB): four MP males introduced together with MP females; (3) cannibalism after oviposition (postoviposition CNB; PO CNB): four MP males introduced 4 d after the MP females; (4) intraguild predation during oviposition (DO IGP): four NT males introduced together with MP females; (5) intraguild predation after oviposition (postoviposition IGP; PO IGP): four NT males introduced after MP females had oviposited (and had been removed). Error bars represent standard errors. Letters represent statistically different treatments.

Results

Effect of intraguild predation and cannibalism on oviposition rates of MP

The four MP females in the control tests generated an average of 22.50 (± 1.96 SE) nymphs in 4 d (Fig. 1). The postoviposition cannibalism (PO-CNB) (14.87 ± 2.20) treatment had a significant negative effect on the number of nymphs compared to the control treatment ($F_{4,72} = 2.64$, $P = 0.04$) (Fig. 1).

The number of nymphs emerged when females oviposited in the presence of four NT males (DO IGP) was 16.25 (± 1.84), and 21.27 (± 2.0) when four NT males were added after the MP females were allowed to oviposit (PO IGP).




Fig. 2 The mean number of MP eggs (inferred from the number of emerged nymphs in each plant leaf) laid in the upper three leaves of young tomato plant in response to five treatments. The treatments consisted of four MP females: (1) control: without NT or MP males; (2) cannibalism during oviposition (DO CNB): four MP males introduced together with MP females; (3) cannibalism after oviposition (postoviposition CNB; PO CNB): four MP males introduced 4 d after the MP females; (4) intraguild predation during oviposition (DO IGP): four NT males introduced together with MP females; (5) intraguild predation after oviposition (postoviposition IGP; PO IGP): four NT males introduced 4 d after MP females (and had been removed). Error bars represent standard errors. Letters represent statistically different treatments.

Effect of intraguild predation and cannibalism on egg distribution

In the control treatment, most MP eggs were laid in the two bottom leaves of the plant (39.2% and 36.0%), whereas the three upper leaves were infrequently used (0.8%, 5.8%, and 20.0% from top to bottom). The presence of potential intraguild predator during oviposition (DO IGP) had an impact on the distribution of MP eggs ($LRT_4 = 27.55$; $P < 0.0001$) (Fig. 2): less nymphs emerged on the upper leaves when MP females were ovipositing in presence of the NT males than in the control treatment or when NT males were added after the oviposition period.

Discussion

The eggs of zoophytophagous mirids, although inserted in plant tissues, are expectedly vulnerable to intraguild predation from other mirid species and to cannibalism. In

our experiment, eggs of the zoophytophagous mirid MP were prone to cannibalism, but not significantly attacked by the intraguild predator NT. This result supports our first hypothesis that cannibalism poses a greater threat to eggs than intraguild predation. Our results corroborate those of other studies according to which intraguild predation is rare among zoophytophagous mirids (Lucas & Alomar, 2001; Lucas & Alomar, 2002; Salas Gervassio *et al.*, 2017).

Our study was conducted under conditions of high population density and low quality food as bugs had only access to the tomato plant and mirid eggs as food resources, and this may have increased the risk of cannibalism (Hamdi *et al.*, 2013). Hamdi *et al.* (2013) observed that about 60%–70% of MP individuals tested were cannibals when prey was not available. Although zoophytophagous mirids can feed on the plant when prey are scarce (Reding *et al.*, 2001; Castañé *et al.*, 2011; Aubry *et al.*, 2016, 2017), they need prey and cannibalism can act as substitute to prey. Hence, cannibalism may regulate zoophytophagous predator populations in conditions where zoophytophagous predators are more detrimental to crop than useful. Based on the similarity between the level of cannibalism in artificial and more natural conditions, Hamdi *et al.* (2013) suggested that cannibalism could be genetically determined. Furthermore, in the mullein bug *Campylomma verbasci* (Meyer) (Hemiptera: Miridae), another zoophytophagous mirid, highly zoophagous strains are more cannibals than lowly zoophagous strains independently of which food resources were available (Dumont *et al.*, 2017b). The release of highly zoophagous strains of zoophytophagous predators may improve their use as biological control agents (Dumont *et al.*, 2016, 2017a, 2018, 2019). Such release would likely increase cannibalism, which would be meaningful to lower the risk of crop damage once predators successfully reduced pest populations.

The female may postpone oviposition or select a safer area to lay their eggs in response to the potential risks. Consequently, the risk of intraguild predation and cannibalism should modulate both the number and distribution of eggs found on a plant. In our system, cannibals should encounter conspecific eggs more frequently than intraguild predators that use a different stratum. Therefore, ovipositing females should be more sensitive to the risk of cannibalism than to the risk of intraguild predation. However, the lack of differences in both the number and the distribution of eggs between the two cannibalism treatments (during or after oviposition) suggests that the MP females do not respond to the risk of cannibalism. Since, MP females did not respond to cannibalism risk, predation on eggs by conspecifics

is expect to be a weak evolutionary force on their oviposition behavior compared to competition by NT.

Our results reveal that the MP females preferentially laid their eggs on bottom leaves. This pattern is accentuated in presence of risk of intraguild predation (or competition) by NT males. In contrast, NT is known to preferentially occupy the apical part of the tomato plant (Moreno-Ripoll *et al.*, 2012; Perdakis *et al.*, 2014). Considering that females most often select oviposition sites according to the expected success of their offspring (Via, 1986; Singer *et al.*, 1988; Thompson, 1988; Hatherly *et al.*, 2009; Dong *et al.*, 2013), MP nymphs can be expected to be more successful on lower leaves than on higher ones especially when facing competition by NT. It should be advantageous for MP females to respond to intraguild predation risk or competition by avoiding oviposition on upper leaves.

From a biological control point of view, the colonization of tomato plants by NT would not hamper the benefits obtained by released MP. *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and NT are likely complementary biological control agents against important tomato pests. Moreover, under condition of prey scarcity, cannibalism could allow MP to survive during a period of prey scarcity and maintain some level of population in tomato greenhouses. Therefore, MP could impact pest population before they reach the economical threshold.

Acknowledgments

We thank Victor Muñoz, Pilar Hernández, Maria Vilas Gonzalez, Irene Fraile, and Marta Ramirez Boixaderas for their precious help during the laboratory experiments. This research was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Project AGL2011-24349) and the CERCA Programme of the Generalitat de Catalunya.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Agustí, N. and Gabarra, R. (2009) Effect of adult age and insect density of *Dicyphus tamaninii* Wagner (Heteroptera: Miridae) on progeny. *Journal of Pest Science*, 82, 241–246.
- Angelon, K.A. and Petranka, J.W. (2002) Chemicals of predatory mosquitofish (*Gambusia affinis*) influence selection of oviposition site by *Culex* mosquitoes. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 28, 797–806.

- Aubry, O., Cormier, D., Chouinard, G. and Lucas, E. (2017) Influence of extraguild prey and intraguild predators on the phytophagy of the zoophytophagous bug *Campylomma verbasci*. *Journal of Pest Science*, 90, 287–297.
- Aubry, O., Cormier, D., Chouinard, G. and Lucas, E. (2016) Phytophagy by the mullein bug (Hemiptera: Miridae) on apples: feeding behavior and fruit damage. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 109, 2463–2471.
- Biondi, A., Guedes, R.N.C., Wan, F.H. and Desneux, N. (2018) Ecology, worldwide spread, and management of the invasive South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*: past, present, and future. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 63, 239–258.
- Blaustein, L. (1999) Oviposition site selection in response to risk of predation: evidence from aquatic habitats and consequences for population dynamics and community structure. *Evolutionary Theory and Processes: Modern Perspectives*, pp. 441–456. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Blaustein, L., Kiflawi, M., Eitam, A., Mangel, M. and Cohen, J.E. (2004) Oviposition habitat selection in response to risk of predation in temporary pools: mode of detection and consistency across experimental venue. *Oecologia*, 138, 300–305.
- Carrasco, D. and Kaitala, A. (2009) Egg-laying tactic in *Phylloporhpha laciniata* in the presence of parasitoids. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 131, 300–307.
- Castañé, C., Alomar, O., Goula, M. and Gabarra, R. (2004) Colonization of tomato greenhouses by the predatory mirid bugs *Macrolophus caliginosus* and *Dicyphus tamaninii*. *Biological Control*, 30, 591–597.
- Castañé, C., Arnó, J., Gabarra, R. and Alomar, O. (2011) Plant damage to vegetable crops by zoophytophagous mirid predators. *Biological Control*, 59, 22–29.
- Castañé, C. and Zapata, R. (2005) Rearing the predatory bug *Macrolophus caliginosus* on a meat-based diet. *Biological Control*, 34, 66–72.
- Choh, Y., van der Hammen, T., Sabelis, M.W. and Janssen, A. (2010) Cues of intraguild predators affect the distribution of intraguild prey. *Oecologia*, 163, 335–340.
- Coll, M. and Guershon, M. (2002) Omnivory in terrestrial arthropods: mixing plant and prey diets. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 47, 267–297.
- Cottrell, T.E. and Yeargan, K.V. (1998) Effect of pollen on *Coleomegilla maculata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) population density, predation, and cannibalism in sweet corn. *Environmental Entomology*, 27, 1402–1410.
- Crawley, M.J. (2013) *The R Book*, 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, England.
- Dong, J.W., Pan, H.S., Lu, Y.H. and Yang, Y.Z. (2013) Nymphal performance correlated with adult preference for flowering host plants in a polyphagous mirid bug, *Apolygus lucorum* (Heteroptera: Miridae). *Arthropod–Plant Interactions*, 7, 83–91.
- Dumont, F., Aubry, O. and Lucas, E. (2018) From evolutionary aspects of zoophytophagy to biological control. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 221.
- Dumont, F., Lucas, E. and Réale, D. (2017a) Coexistence of zoophytophagous and phytozoophagous strategies linked to genotypic diet specialization in plant bug. *PLoS ONE*, 12, e0176369.
- Dumont, F., Lucas, É. and Réale, D. (2016) Evidence of genetic basis of zoophagy and nymphal developmental time in isogroup lines of the zoophytophagous mullein bug, *Campylomma verbasci*. *BioControl*, 61, 425–435.
- Dumont, F., Réale, D. and Lucas, É. (2019) Can isogroup selection of highly zoophagous lines of a zoophytophagous bug improve biocontrol of spider mites in apple orchards? *Insects*, 10, 303.
- Dumont, F., Réale, D. and Lucas, É. (2017b) Isogroup selection to optimize biocontrol increases cannibalism in omnivorous (Zoophytophagous) bugs. *Insects*, 8, 74.
- Fontaine, J.J. and Martin, T.E. (2006) Habitat selection responses of parents to offspring predation risk: an experimental test. *The American Naturalist*, 168, 811–818.
- Hamdi, F., Chadoeuf, J., Chermiti, B. and Bonato, O. (2013) Evidence of cannibalism in *Macrolophus pygmaeus*, a natural enemy of whiteflies. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 26, 614–621.
- Hatherly, I.S., Pedersen, B.P. and Bale, J.S. (2009) Effect of host plant, prey species and intergenerational changes on the prey preferences of the predatory mirid *Macrolophus caliginosus*. *BioControl*, 54, 35–45.
- Herberich, E., Sikorski, J. and Hothorn, T. (2010) A robust procedure for comparing multiple means under heteroscedasticity in unbalanced designs. *PLoS ONE*, 5, e9788.
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., Heiberger, R.M., Schuetzenmeister, A., Scheibe, S. *et al.* (2016) Package “multcomp.” *Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models*. Project for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Laycock, A., Camm, E., Van Laerhoven, S. and Gillespie, D. (2006) Cannibalism in a zoophytophagous omnivore is mediated by prey availability and plant substrate. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 19, 219.
- Lucas, É. and Alomar, O. (2002) Impact of the presence of *Dicyphus tamaninii* Wagner (Heteroptera: Miridae) on whitefly (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) predation by *Macrolophus caliginosus* (Wagner) (Heteroptera: Miridae). *Biological Control*, 25, 123–128.
- Lucas, É. and Alomar, O. (2001) *Macrolophus caliginosus* (Wagner) as an intraguild prey for the zoophytophagous *Dicyphus tamaninii* Wagner (Heteroptera: Miridae). *Biological Control*, 20, 147–152.
- Lucas, É. and Rosenheim, J.A. (2011) Influence of extraguild prey density on intraguild predation by heteropteran predators: a review of the evidence and a case study. *Biological Control*, 59, 61–67.

- Montserrat, M., Bas, C., Magalhães, S., Sabelis, M.W., De Roos, A.M. and Janssen, A. (2007) Predators induce egg retention in prey. *Oecologia*, 150, 699–705.
- Moreno-Ripoll, R., Agustí, N., Berrueto, R. and Gabarra, R. (2012) Conspecific and heterospecific interactions between two omnivorous predators on tomato. *Biological Control*, 62, 189–196.
- Naselli, M., Biondi, A., Tropea Garzia, G., Desneux, N., Russo, A., Siscaro, G. et al. (2017) Insights into food webs associated with the South American tomato pinworm. *Pest Management Science*, 73, 1352–1357.
- Perdikis, D., Lucas, É., Garantonakis, N., Giatropoulos, A., Kitasis, P., Maselou, D. et al. (2014) Intraguild predation and sublethal interactions between two zoophytophagous mirids, *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis*. *Biological Control*, 70, 35–41.
- Perdikis, D.C. and Lykouressis, D.P. (2004) *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Hemiptera: Miridae) population parameters and biological characteristics when feeding on eggplant and tomato without prey. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 97, 1291–1298.
- R Core Team (2017) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2017. ISBN3-900051-07-0 <https://www.R-project.org>.
- Reding, M.E., Beers, E.H., Brunner, J.F. and Dunley, J.E. (2001) Influence of timing and prey availability on fruit damage to apple by *Campylomma verbasci* (Hemiptera: Miridae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 94, 33–38.
- Rieger, J.F., Binckley, C.A. and Resetarits Jr, W.J. (2004) Larval performance and oviposition site preference along a predation gradient. *Ecology*, 85, 2094–2099.
- Salas Gervassio, N.G., Pérez-Hedo, M., Luna, M.G. and Urbaneja, A. (2017) Intraguild predation and competitive displacement between *Nesidiocoris tenuis* and *Dicyphus maroccanus*, two biological control agents in tomato pests. *Insect Science*, 24, 809–817.
- Sanford, K.H. (1964) Eggs and oviposition sites of some predaceous mirids on apple trees (Miridae: Hemiptera). *The Canadian Entomologist*, 96, 1185–1189.
- Schellhorn, N.A. and Andow, D.A. (1999) Cannibalism and interspecific predation: role of oviposition behavior. *Ecological Applications*, 9, 418–428.
- Singer, M.C., Ng, D. and Thomas, C.D. (1988) Heritability of oviposition preference and its relationship to offspring performance within a single insect population. *Evolution*, 42, 977–985.
- Stav, G., Blaustein, L. and Margalith, J. (1999) Experimental evidence for predation risk sensitive oviposition by a mosquito, *Culiseta longiareolata*. *Ecological Entomology*, 24, 202–207.
- Thompson, J.N. (1988) Evolutionary ecology of the relationship between oviposition preference and performance of offspring in phytophagous insects. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 47, 3–14.
- Urbaneja, A., González-Cabrera, J., Arno, J. and Gabarra, R. (2012) Prospects for the biological control of *Tuta absoluta* in tomatoes of the Mediterranean basin. *Pest Management Science*, 68, 1215–1222.
- Urbaneja, A., Montón, H. and Mollá, O. (2009) Suitability of the tomato borer *Tuta absoluta* as prey for *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis*. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 133, 292–296.
- Vandekerckhove, B., Van Baal, E., Bolckmans, K. and De Clercq, P. (2006) Effect of diet and mating status on ovarian development and oviposition in the polyphagous predator *Macrolophus caliginosus* (Heteroptera: Miridae). *Biological Control*, 39, 532–538.
- Velasco-Hernández, M.C., Ramirez-Romero, R., Cicero, L., Michel-Rios, C. and Desneux, N. (2013) Intraguild predation on the whitefly parasitoid *Eretmocerus eremicus* by the generalist predator *Geocoris punctipes*: a behavioral approach. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e80679.
- Via, S. (1986) Genetic covariance between oviposition preference and larval performance in an insect herbivore. *Evolution*, 40, 778–785.
- Walzer, A. and Schausberger, P. (2011) Threat-sensitive anti-intraguild predation behaviour: maternal strategies to reduce offspring predation risk in mites. *Animal Behaviour*, 81, 177–184.
- Wheeler, A.G. (2001) *Biology of the Plant Bugs (Hemiptera: Miridae): Pests, Predators, Opportunists*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York.
- Wiedenmann, R.N., Wilson, L.T., Alomar, O. and Wiedenmann, R. (1996) *Zoophytophagous Heteroptera: Implications for Life History and Integrated Pest Management*. Entomological Society of America, Lanham, Maryland.

Manuscript received September 10, 2019

Final version received January 3, 2020

Accepted January 7, 2020